

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,182	12/18/2001	Jean-Claude Sauvestre	032013-036	8471
21839 75	590 02/04/2003			
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			EXAMINER	
	T OFFICE BOX 1404 XANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		TUDOR, HAROLD JAY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	Applicant(s)				
	1/6/018182	Samestre 9				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit   Confirmation No.				
	Tudo H.J	364/				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet be neat	h th correspond nc address -				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY COMMUNICATION.	IS SET TO EXPIREMONTH(S)	FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS				
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shather a specified above.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	(0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the line of the	thirty (30) days will be considered timely. nailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Decreesing to some the Confidence						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
This action is <b>FINAL</b> . This action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allow accordance with the practice under Ex parte	/ance except for the formal matters, p Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	rosecution as to the merits is closed in				
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s)	is/are pending in this application.					
- Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.					
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election					
Application Papers	equirement.					
The proposed drawing correction, filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required	is approved or din reply to this Office action.	isapproved by the Examiner.				
The drawing(s) filed on is/are accepted or objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) or (f).						
All Some* None of the:						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 88 120 and/or 121						
Attachment(s)						
Troube of References Cited, P10-65	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Other					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (07/01)		Part of Paper No				

Application/Control Number: 10/018,182

Art Unit: 3641

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A – Fig. 1, B – Fig. 5, C – Fig. 8, D – Fig. 9 and E – Fig. 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,182

Art Unit: 3641

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Harold Tudor at telephone number (703) 306-4172.

Tudor/kl January 30, 2003

HAROLD J. TUDOR PRIMARY EXAMINER